# The WFCB Galactic Bulge Treasury Program

Thomas M. Brown Space Telescope Science Institute

# The WFC3 Galactic Bulge Treasury Program

Thomas M. Brown, Kailash Sahu, Alvio Renzini, Manuela Zoccali, Henry C. Ferguson, Jay Anderson, Ed Smith, Howard E. Bond, Dante Minniti, Jeff A. Valenti, Stefano Casertano, Mario Livio, Nino Panagia, Don A. VandenBerg, Elona Valenti, Jason Tumlinson, and Elizabeth Jeffery

## Outline

- Historical perspective
- Overview of program
- Images, photometry, astrometry
- Preliminary ages & metallicities in the bulge populations
- Metallicities of exoplanet hosts
- Implications

#### **Bulge Formation - Historical Paradigm**

# Protogalactic collapse

internal

#### Internal secular evolution

driven by bar instabilities, dark matter halos, bars and oval distortions, spiral structure, nuclear black holes, galactic winds & fountains, etc.



slow

Galaxy mergers, RAM-pressure stripping of gas

external

Environmental secular evolution driven by prolonged gas infall,

> minor mergers, galaxy harassment, etc.

#### Kormendy & Kennicutt (2004)

#### **Conflicting evidence**

#### Boxy, peanut-shaped

Bulge morphology implies prolonged evolution from secular instabilities



Bulge populations imply rapid formation (see also Ferreras et al. 2003)

## New paradigm emerging



- IFU observations of z~2 galaxies reveal large, rotating, gas-rich, disks (Genzel et al. 2008; Forster Schreiber et al. 2009)
- Gas-rich & clumpy disks prone to instabilities that can drive bulge formation faster & earlier than traditionally associated with secular processes (Immeli et al. 2004; Elmegreen et al. 2009)

# Program Goals

- Map the detailed star-formation history of the Galactic bulge in four distinct windows
- Calibrate a new HST photometric system for stellar population studies, using star clusters
- Measure accurate temperatures and metallicities for tens of thousands of stars in each bulge field, including 11 exoplanet hosts
- Calculate stellar mass function of a pure bulge population as a function of metallicity and position
- Obtain proper motions in each field for bulge/disk decomposition and kinematics

Deep HST bulge observations already exist - why do we need new ones?

 Observations did not provide wide wavelength coverage

Bands could not distinguish the effects of reddening, age, and metallicity

 Different fields observed in different bands

Most fields have single-epoch observations (no proper motions)





#### Main sequence = clock



0.7 V-I (ABMAG)



#### CMD also indicates metallicity



5

 $\left( \right)$ 

## [Fe/H]=-1.31 8 Gyr 10 Gyr 12 Gyr

#### [Fe/H]=-0.71 8 Gyr 10 Gyr 12 Gyr







2 (**ABMAG)** 3

(ABMAG)

With only 2 bands, it is difficult to disentangle age and metallicity in a highly reddened environment like the bulge



### WFC3 Filters



wavelength (nm)

• Temperature index using: V J H

## [t] = (V - J) - (J - H) E(V-J) / E(J-H)

### [t] = (V - J) - 5.8 (J - H)

#### • Metallicity index using: C V I

## [m] = (C - V) - (V - I) E(C - V)/E(J - H)

[m] = (C - V) - 0.9 (V - I)



[t] = (V - J) - 5.8 (J - H) (mag)



[t] = (V - J) - 5.8 (J - H) (mag)



.5 -1.0 -1.5 -[t] = (V - J) - 5.8 (J - H) (mag)

### WFC3 Fields



2MASS image (Skrutskie et al. 2006)

Field	l (deg)	b (deg)	R <sub>min</sub> (kpc)	A <sub>V</sub> (mag)
Stanek's Window	0.25	-2.15	0.32	2.6
SWEEPS	1.25	-2.65	0.43	2.0
Baade's Window	1.06	-3.81	0.58	1.6
OGLE29	-6.75	-4.72	1.21	1.5



Stanek's Window

> I 56x I 50 arcsec

6.5х6.1 рс R<sub>min</sub>=0.32 kpc

A<sub>V</sub>=2.6 mag



#### **SWEEPS**

 I 56x I 50

 arcsec

 6.5x6.1 pc

 Rmin=0.43 kpc

 Ay=2.0 mag



Baade's Window

> I 56x I 50 arcsec

6.5х6.1 рс R<sub>min</sub>=0.58 kpc

A<sub>V</sub>=1.6 mag



OGLE29

arcsec 6.5x6.1 pc R<sub>min</sub>=1.21 kpc  $A_V = 1.5 \text{ mag}$ 



#### **SWEEPS**

 I 56x I 50

 arcsec

 6.5x6.1 pc

 Rmin=0.43 kpc

 Ay=2.0 mag





## SWEEPS CMD



C - I (mag)

#### SWEEPS CMD (motions in disk direction)



C - I (mag)

## SWEEPS CMD (motions opposite disk direction)



C - I (mag)

#### All fields (UV/optical)

#### Brown et al. (2010, ApJL, sub.)



#### All fields (IR)

#### Brown et al. (2010, ApJL, sub.)





#### Bulge stars on upper main sequence



#### Bulge stars & isochrones on upper main sequence



#### Metal-rich exoplanet hosts in SWEEPS field



[t] = (V - J) - 5.8 (J - H) (mag)Brown et al. (2010, ApJL, sub.)

#### Implied metallicities for bulge fields



Brown et al. (2010, ApJL, sub.)

#### Bulge fields and metallicities



Brown et al. (2010, ApJL, sub.) [t] = (V - J) - 5.8 (J - H) (mag)

[t] = (V - J) - 5.8 (J - H) (mag)

## Implications

- Bulge is dominated by old (10 Gyr) stars at all positions
- Bulge exhibits declining metallicities at increasing radius
- Preliminary analysis of bulge consistent with
  - Classical dissipative collapse, or
  - Early, rapid evolution driven by instabilities in a gas-rich clumpy disk
- Inconsistent with secular processes traditionally associated with peanut-shaped bulge
- Exoplanets preferentially found at high metallicity in bulge (as in solar neighborhood; Fischer & Valenti 2005)
- Exoplanets may preferentially form in metal-rich environment