

Pixels, slits and facets for a MEMS-type spectrograph for NGST

Appendix: Extension to different PSF and detector properties

Pixels, slits, and facets for a MEMS-type spectrograph for NGST

Appendix: Update for different PSF and detector properties

S. Arribas (STScI), P. Jakobsen (ESTEC), R.A.E. Fosbury (ST-ECF), and W. Freudling (ST-ECF)

March 2002

Part of the analysis presented in ISR 2002-01 has been repeated considering different detector and PSF properties. In particular:

- ❑ Instead of a detector characterized by $0.02 \text{ e}^-/\text{pix}/\text{s}$, we now consider $0.1 \text{ e}^-/\text{pix}/\text{s}$. This corresponds to a detector having a behavior required for long exposures (i.e. dark current $0.05 \text{ e}^-/\text{pix}/\text{sec}$, readout noise $7 \text{ e}^-/\text{pix}$ (rms), individual frames of 1000 sec).
- ❑ Instead of a PSF defined by a surface having low frequency errors of $0.144 \mu\text{m}$ (mid-frequencies as Hubble), a value of $0.073 \mu\text{m}$ was used (see Bely et al, 2001). This PSF meets the two requirements established by Bely et al. for the OTA optical quality.

The figure represents the results already presented in Figures 1 and 2 (red lines - upper), together with the results for the new values (blue lines - lower). It is seen that the effects are relatively minor. For $R = 100$ the optimum pixel scale is about $0.1 \text{ arcsec}/\text{pix}$, while for $R = 1000$ it is somewhat larger. Therefore, the main conclusions of the analysis remain unchanged.

Reference

Bely, P. et al. 2001, NGST-Monograph No. 7

http://www.ngst.nasa.gov/public/unconfigured/doc_0791/rev_04/monograph7_v10.pdf

